UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/555,380	08/03/2006	Robert Andren	80312 - 81980	4997
²⁶²⁸⁸ ALBIHNS STO	7590 08/24/200 OCKHOLM AB	EXAMINER		
BOX 5581, LIN	NEGATAN 2	GRAHAM, MARK S		
SE-114 85 STOCKHOLM; SWED STOCKHOLM,		Nn	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SWEDEN	,		3711	-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		10/555,380	ANDREN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mark S. Graham	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6-8 and 12-14</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>3-5,9-11 and 15</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)							

Application/Control Number: 10/555,380

Art Unit: 3711

film."

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 6-8, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peeri in view of Sato. Peeri discloses the claimed structure with the exception of the "bridges." Peeri's device is capable of being used as a target device and thus meets the language of the preamble of the claims. Regarding the "bridges" Sato discloses that a plurality of heating resistor wires located between the opposite sides of such structures may be connected in net fashion to allow for continued current flow and therefore heating capability should a particular resistor wire become damaged or break. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided such bridges between Peeri's resistor wires for the same reason.

Concerning claim 6, the carpet fibers may be considered the "first substrate." Regarding claim 7, the polytetrafluoroethylene may be considered the "plastic

With regard to claim 8, the liquid latex may be considered the insulating layer.

Concerning claim 12, the examiner takes official notice that polyester is commonly used to form carpet material.

Regarding claim 13, the examiner takes official notice that foam rubber backing for carpets is commonly known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have foamed Peeri's latex if a spongier backing was desired.

Application/Control Number: 10/555,380

Art Unit: 3711

Finally, with regard to claim 14, the examiner takes official notice that aluminum is well known as a conductor for making current coils and the like. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have uses such as Peeri's conductor material if such were considered to be the most readily available or cost effective.

Claims 3-5, 9-11and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The other prior art cited on the PTO '892 form has been provided to show other articles which are similar to that claimed by applicant.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S. Graham at telephone number 571-272-4410.

MSG 8/14/07 /Mark S. Graham/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711 Page 3